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(many slides from Greg Durrett)
This Lecture

- Extractive systems for multi-document summarization
- Extractive + compressive systems for single-document summarization
- Single-document summarization with neural networks
Summarization
Strong earthquake hits Iraq-Iran border area, six killed in Iran

Raya Jalabi, Ahmed Rasheed

BAGHDAD/ERBIL, Iraq (Reuters) - A strong earthquake hit large parts of northern Iraq and the capital Baghdad on Sunday, and also caused damage in villages across the border in Iran where state TV said at least six people had been killed.
Strong earthquake hits Iraq-Iran border area, six killed in Iran

BAGHDAD/ERBIL, Iraq (Reuters) - A strong earthquake hit large parts of northern Iraq and the capital Baghdad on Sunday, and also caused damage in villages across the border in Iran where state TV said at least six people had been killed.

Live updates - Earthquake of 7.3 magnitude strikes near Iraq-Iran border: Six dead, several injured

The quake was even felt in the Iranian capital Tehran, with some villages hit by power cuts, Iranian state TV reported.
Strong earthquake hits Iraq, six killed in Iran

BAGHDAD/ERBIL, Iraq (Reuters) - A strong earthquake hit northern Iraq and the capital Baghdad on Sunday, damaging buildings and killing six people, Iraqi officials said.

By Raya Jalabi, Ahmed Rasheed

Powerful earthquake strikes near Iraqi city of Halabja

By Darran Simon, CNN

Updated 3:11 PM ET, Sun November 12, 2017

(CNN) — A magnitude 7.3 earthquake has hit the Iraqi city of Halabja close to the Iraq-Iran border, according to the US Geological Survey.

The tremor, centered about 350 kilometers (217 miles) north of Baghdad, was felt throughout Iraq, USGS said. The extent of any damage was not immediately available.
What makes a good summary?
BAGHDAD/ERBIL, Iraq (Reuters) - A strong earthquake hit large parts of northern Iraq and the capital Baghdad on Sunday, and also caused damage in villages across the border in Iran where state TV said at least six people had been killed.

There were no immediate reports of casualties in Iraq after the quake, whose epicenter was in Penjwin, in Sulaimaniyah province which is in the semi-autonomous Kurdistan region very close to the Iranian border, according to an Iraqi meteorology official.

But eight villages were damaged in Iran and at least six people were killed and many others injured in the border town of Qasr-e Shirin in Iran, Iranian state TV said.

The US Geological Survey said the quake measured a magnitude of 7.3, while an Iraqi meteorology official put its magnitude at 6.5 according to preliminary information.

Many residents in the Iraqi capital Baghdad rushed out of houses and tall buildings in panic. ...
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Indian Express — A massive earthquake of magnitude 7.3 struck Iraq on Sunday, 103 kms (64 miles) southeast of the city of As-Sulaymaniyah, the US Geological Survey said, reports Reuters. US Geological Survey initially said the quake was of a magnitude 7.2, before revising it to 7.3.

The quake has been felt in several Iranian cities and eight villages have been damaged. Electricity has also been disrupted at many places, suggest few TV reports.
Indian Express — A massive earthquake of magnitude 7.3 struck Iraq on Sunday, 103 kms (64 miles) southeast of the city of As-Sulaymaniyah, the US Geological Survey said, reports Reuters. US Geological Survey initially said the quake was of a magnitude 7.2, before revising it to 7.3.

The quake has been felt in several Iranian cities and eight villages have been damaged. Electricity has also been disrupted at many places, suggest few TV reports.
Indian Express — A massive earthquake of magnitude 7.3 struck Iraq on Sunday, 103 kms (64 miles) southeast of the city of As-Sulaymaniyah, the US Geological Survey said, reports Reuters. US Geological Survey initially said the quake was of a magnitude 7.2, before revising it to 7.3.

The quake has been felt in several Iranian cities and eight villages have been damaged. Electricity has also been disrupted at many places, suggest few TV reports.

Summary

A massive earthquake of magnitude 7.3 struck Iraq on Sunday. The epicenter was close to the Iranian border. Eight villages were damaged and six people were killed in Iran.
A massive earthquake of magnitude 7.3 struck Iraq on Sunday, 103 kms (64 miles) southeast of the city of As-Sulaymaniyah, the US Geological Survey said, reports Reuters. US Geological Survey initially said the quake was of a magnitude 7.2, before revising it to 7.3.

The quake has been felt in several Iranian cities and eight villages have been damaged. Electricity has also been disrupted at many places, suggest few TV reports.

Summary

A massive earthquake of magnitude 7.3 struck Iraq on Sunday. The epicenter was close to the Iranian border. Eight villages were damaged and six people were killed in Iran.
A strong earthquake of magnitude 7.3 struck Iraq and Iran on Sunday. The epicenter was close to the Iranian border. Eight villages were damaged and six people were killed in Iran.
What makes a good summary?

Summary
A strong earthquake of magnitude 7.3 struck Iraq and Iran on Sunday. The epicenter was close to the Iranian border. Eight villages were damaged and six people were killed in Iran.

- Content selection: pick the right content
  - Right content was repeated within and across documents
  - Domain-specific (magnitude + epicenter of earthquakes are important)
What makes a good summary?

Summary
A strong earthquake of magnitude 7.3 struck Iraq and Iran on Sunday. The epicenter was close to the Iranian border. Eight villages were damaged and six people were killed in Iran.

- Content selection: pick the right content
  - Right content was repeated within and across documents
  - Domain-specific (magnitude + epicenter of earthquakes are important)

- Generation: write the summary
  - Extraction: pick whole sentences from the summary
  - Compression: compress those sentences but basically just do deletion
  - Abstraction: rewrite + reexpress content freely
Extractive Summarization
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- Given some articles and a length budget of $k$ words, pick some sentences of total length $\leq k$ and make a summary
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"max over all sentences not yet in the summary"
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Extractive Summarization: MMR

- Given some articles and a length budget of \( k \) words, pick some sentences of total length \( \leq k \) and make a summary.
- Pick important yet diverse content: maximum marginal relevance (MMR)

While summary is \(< k \) words

Calculate

\[
\text{MMR} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \arg \max_{D_i \in R \setminus S} \left[ \lambda (\text{Sim}_1(D_i, Q) - (1 - \lambda) \max_{D_j \in S} \text{Sim}_2(D_i, D_j)) \right]
\]

“max over all sentences not yet in the summary”
“make this sentence similar to a query”
“make this sentence maximally different from all others added so far”

Add highest MMR sentence that doesn’t overflow length

Carbonell and Goldstein (1998)
Extractive Summarization: Centroid
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Extractive Summarization: Centroid

- Represent the documents and each sentences as bag-of-words with TF-IDF weighting.

While summary is $< k$ words

Calculate score(sentence) = \( \text{cosine}(\text{sent-vec}, \text{doc-vec}) \)

Discard all sentences whose similarity with some sentence already in the summary is too high.
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Extractive Summarization: Centroid

- Represent the documents and each sentences as bag-of-words with TF-IDF weighting

While summary is < k words

  - Calculate score(sentence) = \text{cosine}(\text{sent-vec}, \text{doc-vec})
  - Discard all sentences whose similarity with some sentence already in the summary is too high
  - Add the best remaining sentence that won’t overflow the summary

Radev et al. (2004)
Extractive Summarization: Bigram Recall

- Count number of documents each bigram occurs in to measure importance

Gillick and Favre (2009)
Count number of *documents* each bigram occurs in to measure importance

- score(*massive earthquake*) = 3
- score(*six killed*) = 2
- score(*magnitude 7.3*) = 2
- score(*Iraqi capital*) = 1

Gillick and Favre (2009)
Excerpts:

**Summarization:** Bigram Recall

- Count number of *documents* each bigram occurs in to measure importance
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  - score(*six killed*) = 2
  - score(*Iraqi capital*) = 1

- Find summary that maximizes the score of bigrams it covers
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- ILP formulation: \( c \) and \( s \) are indicator variables indexed over bigrams ("concepts") and sentences, respectively
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Extractive Summarization: Bigram Recall

- Count number of documents each bigram occurs in to measure importance
  
  \[
  \begin{align*}
  \text{score(} \text{massive earthquake}) &= 3 \\
  \text{score(} \text{magnitude 7.3}) &= 2 \\
  \text{score(} \text{six killed}) &= 2 \\
  \text{score(} \text{Iraqi capital}) &= 1
  \end{align*}
  \]

- Find summary that maximizes the score of bigrams it covers

- ILP formulation: \( c \) and \( s \) are indicator variables indexed over bigrams ("concepts") and sentences, respectively

Maximize: \( \sum_i w_i c_i \)

Subject to: \( \sum_j l_j s_j \leq L \)

\[ s_j \text{Occ}_{ij} \leq c_i, \quad \forall i, j \]

\[ \sum_j s_j \text{Occ}_{ij} \geq c_i \quad \forall i \]

"set \( c_i \) to 1 iff some sentence that contains it is included"

sum of included sentences' lengths can't exceed \( L \)

Gillick and Favre (2009)
Evaluation: ROUGE

- **Rouge-n**: n-gram precision/recall/F1 of summary w.r.t. gold standard
- **Rouge-2** correlates well with human judgments for multi-document summarization tasks
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Evaluation: ROUGE

- Rouge-n: n-gram precision/recall/F1 of summary w.r.t. gold standard
- Rouge-2 correlates well with human judgments for multi-document summarization tasks

A massive earthquake of magnitude 7.3 struck Iraq on Sunday
An earthquake was detected in Iraq on Sunday

ROUGE 2 recall = 1 correct bigram (Iraq, Sunday) / 3 reference bigrams
ROUGE 2 precision = 1 correct bigram (Iraq, Sunday) / 6 predicted bigrams

- Many hyperparameters: stemming, remove stopwords, etc.
- Historically: ROUGE recall @ k {words, characters}. Now: ROUGE F1

Lin (2004)
## Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>R-1</th>
<th>R-2</th>
<th>R-4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centroid</td>
<td>36.03</td>
<td>7.89</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LexRank</td>
<td>35.49</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KLSum</td>
<td>37.63</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLASSY04</td>
<td>37.23</td>
<td>8.89</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICSI</td>
<td>38.02</td>
<td><strong>9.72</strong></td>
<td><strong>1.72</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submodular</td>
<td>38.62</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPP</td>
<td><strong>39.41</strong></td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RegSum</td>
<td>38.23</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Better centroid: 38.58 9.73 1.53

Ghalandri (2017)
## Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
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<td>1.34</td>
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<tr>
<td>DPP</td>
<td><strong>39.41</strong></td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RegSum</td>
<td>38.23</td>
<td>9.71</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Caveat: these techniques all work better for multi-document than single-document!

Ghalandri (2017)
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Multi-document summarization is easier?
Compressive Summarization
Indian Express — A massive earthquake of magnitude 7.3 struck Iraq on Sunday, 103 kms (64 miles) southeast of the city of As-Sulaymaniyah, the US Geological Survey said, reports Reuters. US Geological Survey initially said the quake was of a magnitude 7.2, before revising it to 7.3.
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A massive earthquake of magnitude 7.3 struck Iraq on Sunday, 103 kms (64 miles) southeast of the city of As-Sulaymaniyah, the US Geological Survey said, reports Reuters. US Geological Survey initially said the quake was of a magnitude 7.2, before revising it to 7.3.

- Sentence extraction isn’t aggressive enough at removing irrelevant content
- Want to extract sentences and also delete content from them
Syntactic Cuts

- Use syntactic rules to make certain deletions
- Delete adjuncts
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Recall the Gillick+Favre ILP: 

Maximize: \( \sum_i w_i c_i \)

Subject to: \( \sum_j l_j s_j \leq L \)
\[ s_j \text{Occ}_{ij} \leq c_i, \quad \forall i, j \]
\[ \sum_j s_j \text{Occ}_{ij} \geq c_i \quad \forall i \]
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Compressive ILP

- Recall the Gillick+Favre ILP: 
  
  Maximize: \( \sum_i w_i c_i \)  
  Subject to: \( \sum_j l_j s_j \leq L \)  
  \[ \sum_j s_{j} \text{Occ}_{ij} \leq c_i, \ \forall i, j \]  
  \[ \sum_j s_{j} \text{Occ}_{ij} \geq c_i \ \forall i \]

- Now \( s_j \) variables are nodes or sets of nodes in the parse tree
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Compressive ILP

- Recall the Gillick+Favre ILP:

Maximize:  \[ \sum_{i} w_i c_i \]

Subject to:  \[ \sum_{j} l_j s_j \leq L \]

\[ s_j \text{Occ}_{ij} \leq c_i, \quad \forall i, j \]

\[ \sum_{j} s_j \text{Occ}_{ij} \geq c_i \quad \forall i \]

- Now \( s_j \) variables are nodes or sets of nodes in the parse tree.

At least six people were killed and many others injured.
Compressive ILP

- Recall the Gillick+Favre ILP:

Maximize: \[ \sum_i w_i c_i \]  \quad s_j \text{Occ}_{ij} \leq c_i, \quad \forall i, j

Subject to: \[ \sum_j l_j s_j \leq L \]  \quad \sum_j s_j \text{Occ}_{ij} \geq c_i \quad \forall i

- Now \( s_j \) variables are nodes or sets of nodes in the parse tree

- New constraint: \( s_2 \leq s_1 \)

At least six people were killed and many others injured
Recall the Gillick+Favre ILP:

Maximize: \( \sum_{i} w_i c_i \) \quad \sum_{j} s_j O_{c_{ij}} \leq c_i, \quad \forall i, j \) \quad \sum_{j} s_j O_{c_{ij}} \geq c_i \quad \forall i

Subject to: \( \sum_{j} l_j s_j \leq L \)

Now \( s_j \) variables are nodes or sets of nodes in the parse tree

New constraint: \( s_2 \leq s_1 \)

“\( s_1 \) is a prerequisite for \( s_2 \)”
This hasn't been Kellogg's year.

The oat-bran craze has cost it market share.

Its president quit suddenly.

And now Kellogg is canceling its new cereal plant, which would have cost $1 billion.
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The oat-bran craze has cost Kellogg market share.

Its president quit suddenly.

And now Kellogg is canceling its new cereal plant, which would have cost $1 billion.
This hasn't been Kellogg's year.

Compressive Summarization

The oat-bran craze has cost Kellogg market share.

Its president quit suddenly.

And now Kellogg is canceling its new cereal plant, which would have cost $1 billion.
This hasn't been Kellogg's year.

The oat-bran craze has cost Kellogg market share.

Its president quit suddenly.

And now Kellogg is canceling its new cereal plant, which would have cost $1 billion.

ILP: $\max_x (w^\top f(x))$
This hasn't been Kellogg's year. The oat-bran craze has cost Kellogg market share. Its president quit suddenly. And now Kellogg is canceling its new cereal plant, which would have cost $1 billion.

ILP: \( \max_x \left( w^\top f(x) \right) \) \hspace{1cm} s.t. \ summary(x) \ obeys length limit \\
\quad \ summary(x) \ is \ grammatical \\
\quad \ summary(x) \ is \ coherent
Constraints

\[
\max_x \left( w^\top f(x) \right) \quad \text{s.t. } \text{summary}(x) \text{ obeys length limit}
\]

\[
\text{summary}(x) \text{ is grammatical}
\]

\[
\text{summary}(x) \text{ is coherent}
\]

Durrett et al. (2016)
Constraints

\[
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\text{summary}(x) \ \text{is coherent}
\]

Grammaticality constraints: allow cuts within sentences
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- If we’re confident about coreference, rewrite the pronoun (it \(\rightarrow\) Kellogg)

Durrett et al. (2016)
Constraints

\[
\max_x \left( w^T f(x) \right) \quad s.t. \ summary(x) \ obeys \ length \ limit
\]

summary(x) is grammatical

summary(x) is coherent

Grammaticality constraints: allow cuts within sentences

Coreference constraints: do not allow pronouns that would refer to nothing

- If we’re confident about coreference, rewrite the pronoun (it → Kellogg)
- Otherwise, force its antecedent to be included in the summary

Durrett et al. (2016)
Features

\[
\max_x \left( w^\top f(x) \right) \quad \text{s.t. summary}(x) \text{ obeys length limit}
\]

\[
\text{summary}(x) \text{ is grammatical}
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Features

\[
\max_{x} \left( w^\top f(x) \right) \quad \text{s.t. summary}(x) \text{ obeys length limit}
\]
\[
\text{summary}(x) \text{ is grammatical}
\]
\[
\text{summary}(x) \text{ is coherent}
\]

- Now uses a feature-based model, where features identify good content

\[
f \left( \text{And now Kellogg is canceling its new cereal plant} \right) =
\]
Features

\[
\max_x \left( w^\top f(x) \right) \quad s.t. \quad \text{summary}(x) \text{ obeys length limit}
\]
\[
\text{summary}(x) \text{ is grammatical}
\]
\[
\text{summary}(x) \text{ is coherent}
\]

- Now uses a feature-based model, where features identify good content

\[
f (And \text{ now Kellogg is canceling its new cereal plant}) = \}
\]
Features

\[
\max_x \left( w^\top f(x) \right) \quad \text{s.t. } \text{summary}(x) \text{ obeys length limit}
\]
\[
\text{summary}(x) \text{ is grammatical}
\]
\[
\text{summary}(x) \text{ is coherent}
\]

- Now uses a feature-based model, where features identify good content

\[
f \left( \text{And now Kellogg is canceling its new cereal plant} \right) = \begin{cases} 
\mathbb{I} \left( \text{NumContentWords} = 4 \right) 
\end{cases}
\]

Centrality:
Features

\[
\max_x \left( w^\top f(x) \right) \quad \text{s.t. } \text{summary}(x) \text{ obeys length limit}
\]
\[
\text{summary}(x) \text{ is grammatical}
\]
\[
\text{summary}(x) \text{ is coherent}
\]

- Now uses a feature-based model, where features identify good content

\[
f \left( \text{And now Kellogg is canceling its new cereal plant} \right) = \begin{cases} \text{Centrality:} \\ \mathbb{I} \left( \text{NumContentWords}=4 \right) \\ \text{Document position:} \\ \mathbb{I} \left( \text{SentenceIndex}=4 \right) \end{cases}
\]
Features

\[
\max_x \left( w^\top f(x) \right) \quad \text{s.t. summary}(x) \text{ obeys length limit}
\]

*summary*(x) is grammatical

*summary*(x) is coherent

Now uses a feature-based model, where features identify good content

\[f \left( \text{And now Kellogg is canceling its new cereal plant} \right) = \begin{cases} 
\text{Centrality:} & \mathbb{I} \text{(NumContentWords}=4) \\
\text{Document position:} & \mathbb{I} \text{(SentenceIndex}=4) \\
\text{Lexical features:} & \mathbb{I} \text{(FirstWord}=\text{And}) 
\end{cases}\]
Learning

\[
\max_x (w^\top f(x)) \quad s.t. \quad \text{summary}(x) \text{ obeys length limit}
\]
\[
\text{summary}(x) \text{ is grammatical}
\]
\[
\text{summary}(x) \text{ is coherent}
\]

Berg-Kirkpatrick et al. (2011), Durrett et al. (2016)
\[ \max_x (w^T f(x)) \quad \text{s.t. summary}(x) \text{ obeys length limit} \]
\[ \text{summary}(x) \text{ is grammatical} \]
\[ \text{summary}(x) \text{ is coherent} \]

- Train on a large corpus of New York Times documents with summaries (100,000 documents)
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Learning

$$\max_x (w^T f(x)) \quad s.t. \ summary(x) \ obeys \ length \ limit$$

$$summary(x) \ is \ grammatical$$

$$summary(x) \ is \ coherent$$

- Train on a large corpus of New York Times documents with summaries (100,000 documents)

- Structured SVM with ROUGE as loss function

Berg-Kirkpatrick et al. (2011), Durrett et al. (2016)
Learning

\[
\max_x \left( w^\top f(x) \right) \quad \text{s.t. summary}(x) \text{ obeys length limit}
\]

\[
\text{summary}(x) \text{ is grammatical}
\]

\[
\text{summary}(x) \text{ is coherent}
\]

- Train on a large corpus of New York Times documents with summaries (100,000 documents)
- Structured SVM with ROUGE as loss function
  - Augment the ILP to keep track of which bigrams are included or not, use these for loss-augmented decode

Berg-Kirkpatrick et al. (2011), Durrett et al. (2016)
Results: New York Times Corpus
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Results: New York Times Corpus

Content fidelity (ROUGE-1: word overlap with reference)

Linguistic Quality (Human study on Mechanical Turk)

Extract clauses

Extract sentences

First $n$ words

Yoshida et al. (2014)
Results: New York Times Corpus

Content fidelity (ROUGE-1: word overlap with reference)

Linguistic Quality (Human study on Mechanical Turk)

Yoshida et al. (2014)
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Neural Summarization
Extractive paradigm isn’t all that flexible, even with compression
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Seq2seq Summarization

- Extractive paradigm isn’t all that flexible, even with compression
- Training is hard! ILPs are hard! Maybe just use seq2seq?
- Train to produce summary based on document

Chopra et al. (2016)
Seq2seq Summarization

- Task: generate headline from first sentence of article (can get lots of data!)
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Task: generate headline from first sentence of article (can get lots of data!)

I(1): brazilian defender pepe is out for the rest of the season with a knee injury, his porto coach jesualdo ferreira said saturday.

G: football: pepe out for season

A+: ferreira out for rest of season with knee injury

R: brazilian defender pepe out for rest of season with knee injury
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Seq2seq Summarization

- Task: generate headline from first sentence of article (can get lots of data!)

I(1): Brazilian defender Pepe is out for the rest of the season with a knee injury, his Porto coach Jesualdo Ferreira said Saturday.

G: football: Pepe out for season
A+: Ferreira out for rest of season with knee injury
R: Brazilian defender Pepe out for rest of season with knee injury

- Works pretty well, though these models can generate incorrect summaries (who has the knee injury?)

- What happens if we try this on a longer article?

Chopra et al. (2016)
Original Text (truncated): Lagos, Nigeria (CNN) a day after winning Nigeria’s presidency, Muhammadu Buhari told CNN’s Christiane Amanpour that he plans to aggressively fight corruption that has long plagued Nigeria and go after the root of the nation’s unrest. Buhari said he’ll “rapidly give attention” to curbing violence in the northeast part of Nigeria, where the terrorist group Boko Haram operates. By cooperating with neighboring nations Chad, Cameroon and Niger, he said his administration is confident it will be able to thwart criminals and others contributing to Nigeria’s instability. For the first time in Nigeria’s history, the opposition defeated the ruling party in democratic elections. Buhari defeated incumbent Goodluck Jonathan by about 2 million votes, according to Nigeria’s independent national electoral commission. The win comes after a long history of military rule, coups and botched attempts at democracy in Africa’s most populous nation.

Baseline Seq2Seq + Attention: UNK UNK says his administration is confident it will be able to destabilize Nigeria’s economy. UNK says his administration is confident it will be able to thwart criminals and other Nigerians. He says the country has long Nigeria and Nigeria’s economy.
Pointer-Generator Model

- Copying approach like in Jia+Liang

See et al. (2017)
Solutions: copy mechanism, coverage, just like in MT...

Baseline Seq2Seq + Attention: UNK UNK says his administration is confident it will be able to destabilize Nigeria’s economy. UNK says his administration is confident it will be able to thwart criminals and other Nigerians. he says the country has long Nigeria and Nigeria’s economy.

Pointer-Gen: muhammadu buhari says he plans to aggressively fight corruption in the northeast part of Nigeria. he says he’ll “rapidly give attention” to curbing violence in the northeast part of Nigeria. he says his administration is confident it will be able to thwart criminals.

Pointer-Gen + Coverage: muhammadu buhari says he plans to aggressively fight corruption that has long plagued Nigeria. he says his administration is confident it will be able to thwart criminals. the win comes after a long history of military rule, coups and botched attempts at democracy in Africa’s most populous nation.

Things might still go wrong, no way of preventing this...

See et al. (2017)
How abstractive is this, anyway?

See et al. (2017)
Neural Extractive Systems

Nallapati et al. (2017)
Neural Systems: Results

- Copy mechanism and coverage help substantially
- Abstractive systems don’t/barely beat a “lead” baseline on ROUGE

\[
\begin{array}{|l|c|c|c|}
\hline
\text{Model} & \text{ROUGE} & \text{L} \\
\hline
\text{abstractive model (Nallapati et al., 2016)*} & 35.46 & 13.30 & 32.65 \\
\text{seq-to-seq + attn baseline (150k vocab)} & 30.49 & 11.17 & 28.08 \\
\text{seq-to-seq + attn baseline (50k vocab)} & 31.33 & 11.81 & 28.83 \\
\text{pointer-generator} & 36.44 & 15.66 & 33.42 \\
\text{pointer-generator + coverage} & \textbf{39.53} & \textbf{17.28} & \textbf{36.38} \\
\text{lead-3 baseline (ours)} & 40.34 & 17.70 & 36.57 \\
\text{lead-3 baseline (Nallapati et al., 2017)*} & 39.2 & 15.7 & 35.5 \\
\text{extractive model (Nallapati et al., 2017)*} & 39.6 & 16.2 & 35.3 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

See et al. (2017)
Challenges of Summarization

- True abstraction?
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Challenges of Summarization

- True abstraction?
  - Not really necessary for articles
  - Generating from structured information can usually be done with templates...
Takeaways

- Extractive systems built on heuristics / ILPs work pretty well
- Compression can make things better, especially in the single-document setting
- Neural systems (like MT models) can do abstractive summarization, but they often just copy inputs (or deviate from inputs in bad ways)